

FW: Grace advice

From: **Tony Hanmer** (tonyhanmer@hotmail.com)
Sent: Sunday, 9 August 2009 1:58:43 PM
To: Shara Murray (smurray@queenslandracing.com.au)

Shara, you will remember that I was a bit incredulous about the Bob Lette advice being sent to you. You will see in my 2nd para of the note below I wrote to Michael on 14th December last year mentions that advice.

See if you recall the following:

Bob Lette put the advice on my mobile phone, I tried to transfer unsuccessfully the message to you for transcribing, the 2nd time I was successful and I think Debbble actually transcribed the words of Bob Lette on to paper for future reference.

Coincidentally, Sue did the same thing with the original message and I kept the original. So, you might ask Debbles recollection of the event if not I can send you a copy of my original tomorrow. Let me know the fax number.

Tony Hanmer

Non-Executive Board Director

Board Advisor, Corporate Strategy & Marketing

mob: 0411 193 582

phone: (61) 7 5446 4018

fax: (61) 7 5446 4012

Please consider the environment before printing this email

From: tonyhanmer@hotmail.com
To: michael.lambert@au.abnamro.com
Subject: RE: Grace advice
Date: Sun, 14 Dec 2008 12:45:40 +1000

Michael, I'm disappointed that you found my honest appraisal of the Grace advice unpalatable. Taking the points in your note specifically, I disagree with you when you say the origins of the Grace advice are of no concern to you. The Grace letter was briefed without any involvement by Product Co., or any reference to Product Co. The letter is addressed to Queensland Racing and Malcolm Tuttle is not an officer of Product Co. The letter was written code specific to Thoroughbreds, a different outcome could be imagined if it was briefed by the other codes or

Product Co. If we all rushed off and sought advice on every issue we would enjoy anarchy.

This brings me to your second point - you say you agree with my layperson interpretation and assessment of the issue. This is damning me with faint praise. In initially reading David Grace's advice, my judgement was that it was exceptionally tortured, unconvincing and extremely thin on its assessment. On that basis I sought advice from the Racing Office, they concurred with my view. However, not satisfied with that I asked Bob Lette from Mullins, who Bill Andrews describes "from Top End of Town" for his opinion. Bob, is extremely well respected and as Chairman of Harness has a depth of understanding of the issues. He confirmed to me this was a long bow and in a telephone conversation, a transcript of which you can obtain from Shara Murray, he confirmed he had checked his own advice with one of his partners - same result.

So, we are now looking at 4 people who all concur, I circulated The letter from Cooper Grace Ward to all Product Co. directors on 1st December, again, with no dissent. At the Product Co. meeting which you did not attend, this item was discussed and a determination was made for no further action. A week later, you decide you want it changed.

I have exercised my judgement way beyond the extent of Corporations law, which as you are aware, cannot expect you to be right but does expect you to take care, caution and advice. So, you now want a 5th opinion at a "modest cost of say \$5000," an insurance policy.

Again, I am surprised and disappointed because the advice to date from Cooper Grace Ward has cost a shade under \$9000. If we were to proceed with this adventure we would need to obtain the very best advice, knowing that Unltab would defend this issue vigorously. So, I would suggest that we would go to Jackson who provided our Constitutional advice at a cost of \$52,000 to QR. A long way from your modest \$5000, but, never being a person closed to an argument and because naturally you feel so strongly about this we will again table the matter at the next Product Co. meeting.

On a lighter note, when I read your email I was flattered to hear you refer to my veracity - expecting this to be a compliment but not being aware of the word and sharing a common love of the English language I reached for my Oxford Dictionary but, no definition, similarly with my Websters and my Collins - no definition of veracity I can only assume this is a figment of your vocabulary - perhaps a Lambertism!

Tony Hanmer

Non-Executive Board Director

Board Advisor, Corporate Strategy & Marketing

mob: 0411 193 582

phone: (61) 7 5446 4018

fax: (61) 7 5446 4012

Please consider the environment before printing this email