```
> Subject: Draft Response
  > To: tonyhanmer@hotmail.com
  > CC: carol.perrett@racing.qld.gov.au
    From: michael.kelly@racing.qld.gov.au
  > Date: Wed, 1 Apr 2009 14:40:46 +1000
  >
  > Tony,
  > Draft of my response to your fax is below. Just wanted to make sure it
  > answers the question asked?:
 > "I refer to your letter received by facsimile on 31 March 2009 regarding
 > the Product and Program Agreement and race information legislation. The
 > following comments are provided for your assistance and should not be
 > construed as legal advice. I would recommend that Queensland Race Product
 > Co Ltd obtains its own legal advice on the Issues you have raised.
   The general intent of the Product and Program Agreement is well known. As
 > the control bodies major partner, UNITAB provides the principal source of
 > funding for the Queensland racing industry under the Product and Program
 > Agreement.
 > With respect to the race information legislation, I make the following
 > comments.
  's a licensed wagering operator, under the Racing Act, UNITAB is required
   , apply to each control body for an authorisation to use Queensland race
> information.
> Pursuant to section 113E(6) of the Racing Act, in deciding whether to
> impose a condition to pay a fee or the amount of the fee, a control body
> must take into account the fees paid by UNITAB to it under the Product and
> Program Agreement. This means that the amount of the race information fee
> can be deducted from the fee paid by UNITAB under the Product and Program
> Agreement, If the amount paid by UNITAB under the Product and Program
> Agreement is greater than the amount payable under a race Information
```

```
> authority, then no additional amount would be payable by UNITAB.
   > I would refer you to the Explanatory Notes that accompanied the Revenue and
   > Other Legislation Amendment Bill (No.2) 2008 at pages 51 - 52 where it is
   > stated:
  > "Subsection 6 of section 113E provides that when determining the amount of
  > fees that a licensed wagering operator is required to pay for the use of
  > Queensland race information, a control body must take into consideration
  > the monies that are paid to it by that licensed wagering operator under any
  > other agreement. This supports the purpose of the proposed amendments,
  > which is to ensure that those whose revenue is derived from wagering on
  > Queensland racing make a contribution to the cost of conducting racing in
  > Queensland. For example, UNITAB Limited currently pays the control bodies
  > monies under an agreement referred to as the 'Product and Program
  > Agreement'. Having considered this, the control bodies could decide the
  > fee payable by UNITAB Limited under subsection 3 of clause 113E is
 > calculated by deducting the monles already payable by UNITAB Limited under
 > the 'Product and Program Agreement' from the fees which would otherwise be
 > Imposed under subsection 3. "
 > It would be inappropriate for me to comment on the issue of whether it is
 > sound commercial practice or a long-term business strategy for an
 > organisation to disregard the significant revenue provided under the
 > Product and Program Agreement by charging an additional fee that may impact
 > on its long term relationship with UNITAB.
 > I trust this is of assistance."
 >
> MK
> Only an individual or entity who is intended to be a recipient of this e-mail
may access or use the information contained in this e-mall or any of its
attachments. Opinions contained in this e-mail or any of its attachments do not
necessarily reflect the opinions of Queensland Treasury.
> The contents of this e-mail and any attachments are confidential and may be
legally privileged and the subject of copyright. If you have received this
e-mall in error, please notify Queensland Treasury immediately and erase all
copies of the e-mail and the attachments. Queensland Treasury uses virus
scanning software. However, it is not liable for viruses present in this e-mail
or in any attachment.
```

>

>

3