

**Anna Cunningham**

---

**From:** R Bentley [crossmore13@yahoo.co.uk]

**Sent:** Monday, 2 February 2009 9:12 PM

**To:** Malcolm Tuttle

**Cc:** Shara Murray

**Subject:** NSW ON REPAYMENT

MAL

I DO NOT CONSIDER I HAVE A CONFLICT ON THIS ISSUE ,ADVISE AND I WILL BUTT OUT.

I HAVE SPOKEN TO BOTH TONY AND YOURSELF AND I SPEAK HERE FOR QUEENSLAND RACING.

NSW DO NOT RECOGNISE PRODUCT COMPANY ,THIS IS CORRECT AS THERE IS NO AGREEMENTS WITH PRODUCT COY.

HOW WE ARRANGE OUR INTERNAL AFFAIRS IN QUEENSLAND MEANS NOTHING TO NSW.THERE IS NO NECESSITY TO EXPLAIN ABOUT PRODUCT COY HOW IT WORKS OR WHAT IT IS,NSW COULD NOT CARE LESS.

THIS PARTICULAR PROBLEM SHOULD BE HANDLED BY MALCOLM ON BEHALF OF QLD RACING ,WE ONLY NEED TO CONFIRM QUEENSLAND RACINGS POSITION AS A PARTICIPANT TO THE RISIA AGREEMENT,AND CONFIRM THAT THE PRODUCT AND PROGRAMME AGREEMENT IS A NOMINATED ARRANGEMENT,WITH UNITAB. AND OUR AGENT FOR RECEIPT OF FUNDS IS PRODUCT COY.THE LETTER BACK TO NSW SHOULD BE SIMPLE STRAIGHT TO THE POINT ANSWER THE QUESTIONS THAT NEED TO BE ANSWERED,BE LEGALLY CORRECT AND CHECKED BY COUNSEL OR EXTERNAL LAWYERS.

THERE SHOULD BE NO EXTRA TIME GRANTED.

THE ENTRY OF PRODUCT COY HAS COMPLICATED THE ISSUE ,AND IT IS NOT NECESSARY.

BOB